

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/759,054	01/10/2001	Gabor Kalman	050-99-050	1934	
75	90 03/13/2002				
Honeywell International, Inc.			EXAMINER		
Patent Service A 101 Columbia R		LAXTON, GARY L			
P.O. Box 2245 Morristown, NJ 07962-2245			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2838		
			DATE MAILED: 03/13/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Appliantin	la A	A services	
<u> </u>		Application I	NO	Applicant(s)	,
Office Action Summary				KALMAN ET AL.	
		Examiner		Art Unit	
	The MAIL INC DATE of this communication	Gary L. Laxto		2838	
Perioa to	• •				S
I HE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply received by the Office later than three months after the master patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, he reply within the statutory od will apply and will explore the applications.	owever, may a reply be tin minimum of thirty (30) day ire SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communi	cation.
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _				
2a)□					
3)□	/=	This action is nor			
.—	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice undo on of Claims	wance except for er <i>Ex parte Quay</i>	formal matters, pr /e, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to the med 53 O.G. 213.	rits is
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the applicati	on.			
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	rawn from consid	eration.		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-10,12-14,18,19 and 21</u> is/are reje	cted.			
	Claim(s) <u>11,15-17 and 20</u> is/are objected to.				
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requi	rement.		
	on Papers	•			
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.			
10)[] T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc	epted or b) 🗌 obje	cted to by the Exar	niner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be h	eld in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)∐ T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)⊟ appro	ved b)⊡ disappro	ved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in r	eply to this Office a	ection.		
12)[T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) 🗌 📝	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	•
a)[] All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been red	eived.		
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been red	eived in Applicatio	n No	
	B. Copies of the certified copies of the pri- application from the International B se the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ority documents l ureau (PCT Rule	nave been received 17.2(a)).	d in this National Stage	
	knowledgment is made of a claim for domes				ation).
15) ☐ Ad	\square The translation of the foreign language pr cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	ovisional applica stic priority under	iion nas been rece 35 U.S.C. && 120 :	ivea. and/or 121	
ttachment(s		prising under	22 0.0.0. 33 120 1	3110/01 IET.	
) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)	Notice of Informal Pa	PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-152)	
Patent and Trad O-326 (Rev.	lemark Office	ction Summary		Part of Paper I	No. 3

Art Unit: 2838

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 2-8 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 2-8 and 14 are repeated with 112 2nd paragraph issues, please correct.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-5, 9, 10, 12-14, 18, 19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Richardson et al.

Richardson et al disclose a motor control apparatus and method is disclosed for controlling a multiphase AC motor by controlling electrical quantities such as voltage or current applied to the stator of the motor. The apparatus includes a motor command unit for defining a reference signal indicative of a desired motor parameter such as torque, speed, or position, a DC voltage link, a controller, a line-side converter including active switches in a bridge configuration for

Art Unit: 2838

controlling the bidirectional flow of electrical power between the DC voltage link and a source of AC power, and a motor-side converter including active switches in a bridge configuration for controlling stator electrical quantities by controlling the bidirectional flow of electrical power between the DC voltage link and the motor, where the motor-side converter includes control means responsive to the reference signal for controlling the active switches to produce stator electrical quantities that correspond to the reference signal.

However, Richardson et al do not disclose operating the source side inverter in current mode and the drive side inverter in commutation mode.

Both control methods are well known in the art and would have been obvious choices for methods of control. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize current mode control on the source side inverter and commutation mode on the drive side inverter in order to control a multiphase AC motor.

5. Claims 1-5, 9, 10, 12-14, 18, 19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ma et al.

Ma et al disclose a multi-motor drive in which the resonance existing between one or more output filter capacitors of a current source inverter and an a.c. induction motor is reduced. The inverter features a switching pattern generator which controls the power switches of the inverter based on a reference current. A control loop, connected to the switching pattern generator, measures the load current or voltage and generates a nominal reference current based on an error therein; determines a damping current based on the voltage at the terminal; and determines the reference current supplied to the switching pattern generator by subtracting the damping current

Art Unit: 2838

from the nominal reference current. The invention essentially simulates the use of a physical damping resistor connected in parallel with each output filter capacitor, but without the corresponding energy loss. This form of active damping control is also applied to a resonance mode existing between the input filter capacitors of a PWM-based rectifier and the system inductance of a power source.

However, Ma et al do not disclose operating the source side inverter in current mode and the drive side inverter in commutation mode.

Both control methods are well known in the art and would have been obvious choices for methods of control. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize current mode control on the source side inverter and commutation mode on the drive side inverter in order to control a multiphase AC motor.

6. Claims 1-5, 9, 10, 12-14, 18, 19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Spee et al.

Spee et al disclose a variable speed, constant frequency (VSCF) system utilizes a doubly-fed machine (DFM) to maximize the output power of the system. The system includes a power converter that provides a frequency signal and a current signal to the DFM. The power converter is controlled by an adaptive controller. The controller signals the converter to vary its frequency signal and thereby the rotor speed of the DFM until a maximum power output is sensed. The controller also signals the converter to vary its current signal and thereby the portions of power carried by the respective windings until a maximum power output is sensed. The control can be

Art Unit: 2838

augmented to not only maximize power and efficiency, but also provide for harmonic and reactive power compensation.

However, Ma et al do not disclose operating the source side inverter in current mode and the drive side inverter in commutation mode.

Both control methods are well known in the art and would have been obvious choices for methods of control. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize current mode control on the source side inverter and commutation mode on the drive side inverter in order to control a multiphase AC motor.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 11, 15-17 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2838

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary L. Laxton whose telephone number is (703) 305-7039. The examiner can normally be reached on 5-4-9.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Nappi can be reached on (703) 308-3370. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7724 for regular communications and (703) 305-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Edward H.Tso Primary Examiner